IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Civil Misc. Writ Petition No. 409 of 2006 (M/S)

1. Satya Pal S/o Choudhary Raj Singh
2. Jasveer Singh S/o Late Sri Sukhpal Singh,
   R/o Village Simli, Tehsil- Lakshar,
   District Haridwar.

.....Plaintiffs/Petitioners

Versus

1. Civil Judge, (Junior Division) Lakshar, Haridwar.
2. Smt. Krishna W/o Late Madan,
3. Ravindra S/o Late Madan,
4. Devendra S/o Late Madan,
5. Sandeep S/o Late Madan,
   Resp. 2-5 R/o Village and Post Akodakhurd,
   Pargana- Manglore, Tehsil-Lakshar,
   District Haridwar.
6. Smt. Poonam W/o Rakesh Kumar
   R/o Industrial Area, Bhiwani, Haryana.
7. Smt. Premlata, W/o Vijay Kumar,
   R/o Jaighar, Tehsil and District- Yamuna Nagar,
   Haryana,
8. Smt. Sunita, W/o Sunil Kumar,
   R/o Jathlana Power House,
   District and Tehsil-Yamuna Nagar, Haryana.
9. Surendra.
10. Salekh Chand.
    Both S/o Khem Chandm
    R/o Village and Post Akodakhurd,
    Pargana- Manglore, Tehsil- Lakshar,
    District Haridwar.

.....Defendants/Respondents

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard Shri A.K. Sharma counsel for the Petitioners and standing counsel for the respondent no. 1

The grievance of the petitioner is that while accepting the report of Amin the objections of the petitioners have not been taken into account.

In case the petitioner represents to the Civil Judge (J.D.) Lakshar regarding this objection, he is directed to consider the objections of the petitioners before making the report as part of the record. The order dated 03.12.2005 shall be subject to the final order passed after hearing the objections of the petitioners.

Subject to the aforesaid observation, writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajesh Tandon, J.)

22-04-2006